

PARTNERSHIPS POLICY

partnership models. Academic Board has formal responsibility for ensuring approved academic policies and procedures are applied appropriately.

1.7 **Academic Registry** will review and update the policy to ensure that partnership models and definitions reflect current practice and new developments in the sector, and to ensure continued alignment with Standards Code, sector benchmarks and reference points e.g. Quality Assurance Agency (QAA) guidance.

1.8 **Executive Management Board** will consider the business and corporate details for a partnership proposal before making decisions about where they may be approved for development. From time to time, it may delegate author

conducive to meeting the strategic aims and objectives of the University;
appropriately resourced;
delivered only via methods of learning, teaching and assessment which the University approves;
confined to partner organisations whose educational objectives or shared understanding about educational ethos, culture, expectations and academic

- ◁ Typically, the University will approve a specific programme or qualification delivered by a partner organisation as being equivalent to its standard entry requirements.

3.4 Student Mobility

3.4.1 Key characteristics of the Student Mobility model are:

- ◁ Involves students undertaking work or study abroad as part of their degree programme
- ◁ normally includes provision for credit transfer to and/or from a Partner;
- ◁ students will receive the award of their home institution on successful completion of their programme.

3.5 Articulation

3.5.1 Key characteristics of the Articulation model are:

- ◁ Guarantees entry with or without

B (typically mobility and activities which enable automatic entry to ULaw programmes with advanced standing)	- Articulation	- Business Case - Proposal Form - DD Report - Curriculum Mapping	- Executive Management Board or Research and Business Development Group (RBDG) - Partnerships Committee - Admissions Committee
	- Student Mobility	- Business Case - Proposal Form - DD Report	- Executive Management Board or Research and Business Development Group (RBDG) - Partnerships Committee
C (typically non-academic related or non-credit bearing)	- Service Delivery e.g. Online Partners - Progression	- Business Case - Proposal Form - DD Report	- Executive Management Board or Research and Business Development Group (RBDG) - Partnerships Committee

4 APPROVAL, MONITORING AND MANAGEMENT OF PARTNERSHIPS

4.1 All partnership arrangements are and policies unless exceptions have been explicitly approved by the appropriate ULaw authority and reported to the as necessary. Further guidance and operational detail about the approval process are provided by protocols Q10.1 and its appendices.

4.2 In addition to the principles set out in section 2 above, the University is mindfv4(fv4(fv4(fv4(f0 5





Appendix 1: Partnership Development and Approval Process

Monitoring and evaluation of the policy

30 Responsibility for reviewing and evaluating the effectiveness of the Partnership Policy lies initially with the Partnerships Committee. Formal res