



1.3 Was the standard of performance attained by candidates in general appropriate for Graduate Diploma level?	<u>YES/NO</u>
Please Comment Yes, attainment was consistently high among the samples I saw, and also in the mark sheets, but this is to be expected of graduate entrants on this kind of programme.	
1.4 Was the marking satisfactory and consistent?	<u>YES/NO</u>

Please Comment

In some of my correspondence regarding the marking this year, I noted that there seems to be less evidence of concerted moderation than in previous years. I received a very clear reply regarding the slightly changed practices given the post-covid working realities, and this certainly made sense. In any case, as I commented at the time, I did not find there to be any discrepancies in marking either between sites or between modules in terms of grading. Not did I find any noticeable difference with previous years. The gradi



2 Administrative Issues: please make any comments you wish to make on:

2.1 The process of setting assessments.

I find the change notice process extremely revealing and best practice for an external.

2.2 The administration of assessment of coursework and the final examinations.

No comments or observations to make here.

2.3 The moderation process.

See comments above.

2.4 The conduct of the July Examination Board.

No observations to make. I was not able to attend the board this year. I have always found Boards exemplary.



3 Qualit